



RECIAL MAGAZINE

MAGAZINE OF THE LETTERS AREA OF THE RESEARCH CENTER OF THE FACULTAD DE FILOSOFÍA Y HUMANIDADES. UNIVERSIDAD DE CÓRDOBA

Evaluation sheet for referee of publications

To carry out the evaluation of the work, we suggest that you take into consideration the following aspects. This does not prevent the possibility of incorporating other elements of judgment that it considers relevant.

Regarding the **Abstract** and **Keywords**:

Does the work have a summary in Spanish and English of no more than 200 words? Is this summary clear, coherent? Are the objectives and work methodology clearly explained? Are the keywords in both languages relevant and significant in relation to the work presented?

In the body of the work:

1. Are the objectives clearly stated in the introduction and are they fulfilled in the body of the work?
2. Is the title consistent with the content of the writing?
3. Is the theme being developed and the arguments that support it clearly presented? Is the plot development complete and coherent?
4. Does the paper identify any problems for which you offer any original input?
5. Is the work merely descriptive or are well-founded arguments and interpretations developed?
6. Is the writing style used relevant and clear?
7. Are the bibliographical references correct and complete?
8. Is the bibliography used relevant and, preferably, up to date?
9. Are the formal guidelines of the APA Publications Standards respected in the writing of the article?

❖ Record the title of the work being evaluated:

In your opinion, this work should:

- a. **Accept** (unmodified or with minor modifications)
- b. **Conditionally accepted** (upon review and resubmission)

c. Not be accepted

Would you be willing to carry out a second review, if necessary?

Evaluator name:

E-mail:

Institutional membership:

Evaluation date: