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ABSTRACT

Pterion is an irregular H letter shaped sutural confluence in the temporal fossa formed by frontal, parietal bones, great wing of sphenoid bone and temporal squama. Pterion is classified in 4 types as follows: sphenoparietal, frontotemporal, epipteric and stellate. The pterion represents: anterior branch of the middle meningeal artery, middle cerebral artery, Broca’s motor speech area, insula and stem of the lateral cerebral sulcus. This pterion junction has been used as a common extra-cranial landmark for surgeons in microsurgical and surgical approaches pertaining to important pathologies of this region. In the present study, our aim was to determine pterion types, to estimate distances between pterion and some special landmarks by which means to contribute to the related literature by comparing the data with other studies focusing on various populations. Pterion types identified by observation and measurements were taken by steel Vernier caliper. This study was conducted with 75 adult skulls (both sides 150 pterion). Skulls were classified with regard to gender as: 47 male and 28 female. Pterion types observed in both genders were classified as: sphenoparietal type 82% (84.04% in male, 78.57% in female), frontotemporal type 4.66% (5.31% in male, 3.57% in female), epipteric type 10.66% (8.51% in male, 14.28% in female) and stellate type 2.66% (2.12% in male, 3.57% in female). These findings will be useful for clinicians, anthropologists and forensics.
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RESUMEN

El pterion es una confluencia sutural con forma de letra H irregular en la fosa temporal formada por los huesos frontales, parietales, el ala mayor del hueso esfenoides y la escama temporal. Pterion se clasifica en 4 tipos de la siguiente manera: Esfenoparietal, frontotemporal, epíptérico y estrellado. El pterion representa: la rama anterior de la arteria meníngea media, la arteria cerebral media, el área motora del habla de Broca, la insula y el vástago del surco cerebral lateral. Esta unión del pterión se ha utilizado como un hito extracraneal común para los cirujanos en enfoques microquirúrgicos y quirúrgicos relacionados con patologías importantes de esta región. En el presente estudio, nuestro objetivo es determinar los tipos de pterion, estimar las distancias entre el pterión y algunos puntos de referencia especiales para contribuir a la literatura relacionada mediante la comparación de los datos con otros estudios que se centran en diversas poblaciones. Los tipos de pterion identificados por observación y mediciones fueron tomados por un calibrador a Vernier de acero. Este estudio se realizó con 75 cráneos adultos (ambos lados 150 pterion). Los cráneos se clasifican en función del género como: 47 hombres y 28 mujeres. Los tipos de pterion observados en ambos sexos se clasifican en: tipo esfenoparietal 82% (84,04% en hombres, 78,57% en mujeres), tipo frontotemporal 4,66% (5,31% en hombres, 3,57% en mujeres), tipo epíptérico 10,66% (8,51% en hombres, 14,28% en mujeres) y tipo estrellado 2,66% (2,12% en hombres, 3,57% en mujeres). Estos hallazgos serán útiles para los clínicos, antropólogos y médicos forenses.
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INTRODUCTION

Pterion is usually characterized by an H letter shape sutural structure formed by frontal, parietal, temporal and greater wing of sphenoid bones on temporal fossa. Four pterion types were classified as: sphenoparietal, frontotemporal, epipteric and stellate by Murphy (1956).

The center of the pterion is located approximately 3.5 - 4 cm above arcus zygomaticus and 3 - 3.5 cm behind the suture frontozygomaticus. In practice, the pterion is located two finger widths above the arcus zygomaticus and a thumb-width behind the processus frontalis of os zygomaticus (Moore, 1992). Pterion has a different morphology because of meeting point of skull base, calvaria and facial skeleton (Urzi et al., 2003). Pterion also forms the base of temporal fossa.

Pterion is known as the Sylvian point. This is the point where the sulcus lateralis is divided into ramus anterior, ascendens and posterior branches. Pterion corresponds to the fontanella anterolateralis (sphenoidalis) in the newborn cranium that disappears 3 months after birth (Moore, 1992). Pterion is crucial intraoperative field in surgical approaches. In neurosurgery, pterional approaches are used in such various interventions and treatments as removal of complicated tumors, medial cerebral artery, internal carotid artery and traumatic optic neuropathy (Mori et al., 2007). This pterion junction has been used as a common extracranial landmark for surgeons in microsurgical and surgical approaches pertaining to important pathologies of this region (Urzi et al., 2003). In the present study, our aim was to determine pterion types, to estimate distances between pterion and some special landmarks by which means to contribute to the related literature by comparing the data with other studies focusing on various populations.

Figure 1: (A) sphenoparietal type of pterion, (B) frontotemporal type of pterion, (C) epipteric type of pterion, (D) stellate type of pterion.
MATERIAL AND METHOD

In the present study, 75 (both side 150 pterions) adult skulls, which have shown non-trauma, were examined. Examined skulls were obtained from the laboratories: Anthropology Department of the Faculty of Letter and Anatomy Department of the Faculty of Medicine of Cumhuriyet University and Anatomy Department of the Faculty of Medicine, Erciyes University. Morphometrical measurements were taken by steel Vernier caliper and outer diameter compass.

This study was done by determining the sutural patterns of the pterion on both sides of each skull based on the description of Murphy (Fig. 1a, 1b, 1c, 1d). In the sphenoparietal type: sphenoid and parietal bones are directly in contact (Fig. 1a). In the frontotemporal type: frontal and temporal bones are directly in contact (Fig. 1b). In the epipterite type, the presence of sutural bones is located between sphenoid and parietal bones (Fig. 1c). In the stellate type: frontal, temporal, sphenoid and parietal bones meet at same junction point (Fig. 1d).

Distance parameters were taken as: between pterion center (P) and posterior aspect of sutura frontozygomaticus (SFZ), midpoint of the arcus zygomaticus (AZ), posterior edge of the orbita margo lateralis (OML), inferior pole of the processus mastoideus (PM), upper edge of the meatus acusticus externus (MAE), glabella (G), inion (I), asterion (A). Also distance between glabella (G) and inion (I) was measured (Fig. 2).

The data obtained from our study were loaded on the SPSS (Ver: 22.0) program, and the t-test was used in independent subjects to compare the parameters in the evaluation of the data. ANOVA was used in the comparison of the pterion types and the error level was taken as 0.05.

**RESULTS**

In classifying pterion types; sphenoparietal type was the most dominant one with 79 sides (84.04%), 44 sides (78.57%) in male and female skulls respectively and totally 123 sides (82%) (Fig. 3a, b, c, d) (Table 1).

Mean distance between pterion and sutura frontozygomaticus was measured as 32.8±4.8 mm, 31.6±4.4 mm in male and female skulls (Table 2) respectively and totally 32.4±4.7 mm (Table 3).

Mean distance between pterion and arcus zygomaticus was measured as 36.5±6.6 mm, 35.5±5.9 mm in male and female skulls (Table 2) respectively and totally 36.1±6.4 mm (Table 3).

Mean distance between pterion and orbita margo lateralis was measured as 38.0±6.9 mm, 35.7±6.6 mm in male and female skulls (Table 2) respectively and totally 37.2±6.9 mm (Table 3).

Mean distance between pterion and processus mastoideus was measured as 82.6±5.1 mm, 76.2±6.0 mm in male and female skulls (Table 2) respectively and totally 80.2±6.3 mm (Table 3).
Pterion types in Anatolian adult skulls


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Both Sides (Regardless of gender)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Both Side</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphenoparietal</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontotemporal</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epipteric</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stellate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Frequency and distribution of the pterion types in terms of gender and side.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P-SFZ</th>
<th>P-AZ</th>
<th>P-OML</th>
<th>P-PM</th>
<th>P-MAE</th>
<th>P-G</th>
<th>P-I</th>
<th>P-A</th>
<th>G-I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male (n=94)</td>
<td><strong>32.8± 4.8</strong></td>
<td><strong>36.5± 6.6</strong></td>
<td><strong>38.0± 6.9</strong></td>
<td><strong>82.6±5.1</strong></td>
<td><strong>57.1±7.7</strong></td>
<td><strong>78.2±4.6</strong></td>
<td><strong>135.5±6.2</strong></td>
<td><strong>89.3±5.4</strong></td>
<td><strong>173.0±7.9</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (n=56)</td>
<td><strong>31.6± 4.4</strong></td>
<td><strong>35.5± 5.9</strong></td>
<td><strong>35.7± 6.6</strong></td>
<td><strong>76.2±6.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>53.4±3.6</strong></td>
<td><strong>73.9±4.6</strong></td>
<td><strong>126.2±6.3</strong></td>
<td><strong>83.5±3.9</strong></td>
<td><strong>162.3±8.3</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(p&lt;0.05)</em></td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.357</td>
<td>0.048*</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P-SFZ</th>
<th>P-AZ</th>
<th>P-OML</th>
<th>P-PM</th>
<th>P-MAE</th>
<th>P-G</th>
<th>P-I</th>
<th>P-A</th>
<th>G-I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sphenoparietal</td>
<td><strong>32.4± 4</strong></td>
<td><strong>36.5± 6</strong></td>
<td><strong>37.2± 7</strong></td>
<td><strong>80.7±6.1</strong></td>
<td><strong>56.2± 7.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>76.6± 4.7</strong></td>
<td><strong>132.4±7.7</strong></td>
<td><strong>87.7±5.4</strong></td>
<td><strong>169.2±10</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontotemporal</td>
<td><strong>31.9± 6</strong></td>
<td><strong>38.0± 8</strong></td>
<td><strong>38.2± 8</strong></td>
<td><strong>79.7±6.1</strong></td>
<td><strong>56.3± 5.1</strong></td>
<td><strong>76.9±4.4</strong></td>
<td><strong>123.1±5.5</strong></td>
<td><strong>83.0±4.9</strong></td>
<td><strong>170.1±3</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epipteric</td>
<td><strong>32.9± 7</strong></td>
<td><strong>32.8± 6</strong></td>
<td><strong>35.6± 9</strong></td>
<td><strong>77.4±6.1</strong></td>
<td><strong>52.3± 4.3</strong></td>
<td><strong>77.1±7.1</strong></td>
<td><strong>129.9±8.1</strong></td>
<td><strong>84.7±5.5</strong></td>
<td><strong>168.4±11</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stellate</td>
<td><strong>29.9± 5.5</strong></td>
<td><strong>35.9± 6</strong></td>
<td><strong>38.5± 6</strong></td>
<td><strong>77.4±10</strong></td>
<td><strong>53.7± 4.4</strong></td>
<td><strong>74.4±5.5</strong></td>
<td><strong>128.8±8.2</strong></td>
<td><strong>84.0±2.9</strong></td>
<td><strong>163.5±9</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (n=150)</td>
<td><strong>32.4± 5</strong></td>
<td><strong>36.1± 6</strong></td>
<td><strong>37.2± 7</strong></td>
<td><strong>80.2±6.1</strong></td>
<td><strong>55.7± 7.5</strong></td>
<td><strong>76.6±5.5</strong></td>
<td><strong>132.0±8.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>87.1±7.5</strong></td>
<td><strong>169.0±10</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Distances between pterion types and some special landmarks. P: pterion; SFZ: sutura frontozygomaticus; OML: orbita margo lateralis; PM: processus mastoideus, G: glabella, I: inion, A: asterion.

Mean distance between pterion and meatus acusticus externus was measured as 57.1±7.7 mm, 53.4±3.6 mm in male and female skulls (Table 2) respectively and totally 55.7±6.7 mm (Table 3).

Mean distance between pterion and glabella was measured as 78.2±4.6 mm, 74.0±4.6 mm in male and female skulls (Table 2) respectively and totally 76.6±5.0 mm (Table 3).
Mean distance between pterion and inion was measured as 135.5±6.2 mm, 126.2±6.3 mm in male and female skulls (Table 2) respectively and totally 132.0±7.7 mm (Table 3).

Mean distance between pterion and asterion was measured as 89.3±5.4 mm, 83.5±3.9 mm in male and female skulls (Table 2), respectively and totally 87.1±5.6 mm (Table 3).

Mean distance between glabella and inion was measured as 169.0±9.6 mm. In the determination whether the skeletal length correlates with the pterion type, we concluded that there is no link between the two parameters.

When the male and female values of the mean distance between the pterion and orbita margo lateralis were examined, it was seen that there is no significant difference between the right and left sides but when the average values were taken into consideration, it is concluded that the difference between male and female is significant (p=0.048) (Table 2).

When the mean distance between the pterion and the processus mastoideus was examined, it was concluded that there was a significant difference between the male and female (p=0.000) in terms of the mean values of both sides (Table 2).

When the mean distance between the pterion and meatus acusticus externus was examined, it was found that between male and female; there was no significant difference in the right-sided values, but a significant difference was found between the left-sided and total mean values between the two gender types (p = 0.001) (Table 2).

When the mean distance between pterion and glabella was examined, it was concluded that there was a significant difference between the both-sided values and the mean values between male and female (p = 0.000) (Table 2).

When the mean distance between the pterion and the inion was examined, it was concluded that there was a significant difference between the two-sided values and the mean values between male and female (p = 0.000) (Table 2).

When the mean distance between the pterion and the asterion was examined, it was concluded that there was a significant difference between the two-sided values and the mean values between male and female (p = 0.000) (Table 2).

When the mean distance between glabella and inion was examined, it was concluded that there was a significant difference between male and female (p = 0.000) (Table 2).

In our symmetry study; in skulls used in this study, pterion types were classified as 78.72% in males and 78.57% in females bilaterally. Sphenoparietal type was found to be the most symmetrical type with 74.46% in males and 67.85% in females. The stellate type pterion was not seen symmetrically.

DISCUSSION

Pterion is an important landmark for optic nerve patologies, orbit, ridge of the sphenoid lesser wing (Lang, 1984) and, it also represents anterior ramus of the middle meningeal artery, middle cerebral artery, Broca’s motor speech area on left side, anterior pole of the insula and stem of the sulcus lateralis (Urzi et al., 2003). A blow on the side of the head can cause the breakdown of the thin bones forming the pterion and the tearing of the ramus anterior to the medial meningeal artery that crosses the pterion. This may cause hematoma that presses the underlying cerebral cortex. Medial meningeal artery hemorrhage may cause death if not stopped within a few minutes (Moore, 1992). Pterion keyhole approach may achieve the best operative effect for the treatment of intracranial anterior circulation aneurysms in a selective group of patients with several advantages over traditional craniotomy including minor tissue damage, less brain retraction, a superior cosmetic result and a shorter duration of surgery (Cheng et al., 2006). The ptieron approach used in brain surgery is defined as the most popular intervention (Yasargil et al., 1987).

Nowadays, the technique, which is characterized by opening a hole that is less than 1.5 cm at the pterion, provides minimal bleeding in patients with coronal craniosynostosis, less operation time, the minimization of the bony defects and protection of the dural structures (Choi ef al., 2009). Pterion is an important guide for age and sex determination as well as archaeological and forensic estimation (Lovejoy ef al., 1985).

According to the common result of research on the incidence of pterional types, it is seen that the one with the highest incidence among the four types is the sphenoparietal type. The incidence of frontotemporal type in our study is compatible with the studies performed in other populations and it has the third frequency among the four pterion types. When we compare our study with studies in other populations, the incidence of epiperic type is compatible with the studies in other populations. The incidence of stellate type in our study is the lowest among the pterion types. It is seen that the incidence of stellate type is low in our study and, our results are compatible with the studies in other populations (Table 4).

When the samples used in the study are compared between male and female; the sphenoparietal type appears to be the most dominant type in both sexes. However, the
incidence of sphenoparietal type was found to be higher in males in comparison to females. The incidence of frontotemporal type does not show a significant difference between male and female.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Sphenoparietal (%)</th>
<th>Frontotemporal (%)</th>
<th>Epipetric</th>
<th>Stellate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Murphy (1956)</td>
<td>Australian</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>73.23</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>18.34</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ersoy et al. (2003)</td>
<td>Turkish</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oğuz et al. (2004)</td>
<td>Turkish</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mwachaka et al. (2008)</td>
<td>Kenyans</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zalawadia et al. (2010)</td>
<td>West Indian</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ilayaruma et al. (2010)</td>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>74.04</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>21.15</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ma et al. 2012</td>
<td>New Zealandian</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukoh et al. (2012)</td>
<td>South-East Nigerian</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunday et al. (2013)</td>
<td>Nigerian</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eboh et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Nigerian</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aksu et al. (2014)</td>
<td>West Anatolian</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>85.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seema and Mahajan (2014)</td>
<td>North Indian</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaijaronhanarak et al. (2017)</td>
<td>Thai</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>87.27</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>8.18</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present Study</td>
<td>Middle and South Anatolian</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>2.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Comparison of pterion types in different populations. Data is given as percentages.

The epipetric type rate is the second most common type of pterion observed in both genders. The incidence in females is higher than males. Stellate type is the least common pterion type in our study and there is no significant difference between male and female incidence. It has been reported in literature that the pterion is located 3.0-3.5 cm behind the sutura frontozygomaticus (Moore, 1992). When we compare the results with the studies conducted in other populations, our data show compatibility with others (Table 5).

It has been reported in literature that the pterion is 3.5-4 cm above the arcus zygomaticus (Moore, 1992). When we compared our data with the studies performed in other populations, the data show compatibility with each other (Table 5).

Ersoy et al. (2003) measured the mean distance between pterion and orbita margo lateralis; the values gathered were 27.9 ± 5.6 mm for epipetric propria, 24.3 ± 1.7 mm for epipetric anterius, 33.2 ± 5.0 mm for epipetric posterius and, 27.4 ± 4.8 mm for ossa epipetica. Aksu et al. (2014) measured the mean distance between the pterion and the orbita margo lateralis only in the skulls with epipetric type pterion and reported 31.0 ± 5.8 mm on the right and, 32.3 ± 5.8 mm on the left. When we compare our data with other studies, our results are higher than the data of Ersoy et al. (2003) and, Aksu et al. (2014). A high incidence of epipetric type pterion may cause differences in mean values. The distance between these two parameters and the possibility of the presence of sutural ossicles in the pterional region are important for surgical intervention. Because of the presence of the sutural bones, the pterion center may be miscalculated and lead to various intraoperative problems. These sutural
bones, which can be found in the pterional region during pterional intervention used in accessing the orbit, can cause various complications. For this reason, the possibility of epipteric type pterion should be considered for surgical interventions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>P-SFZ (mm)</th>
<th>P-AZ (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oguz et al. (2004)</td>
<td>33.0±4.0</td>
<td>34.4±3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mwachaka et al. (2008)</td>
<td>30.3±3.4</td>
<td>30.3±4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ari et al. (2009)</td>
<td>39.0±4.0 (Byzantine)</td>
<td>39.0±4.2 (Byzantine)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38.0±5.0 (Contemporary)</td>
<td>41.0±7.0 (Contemporary)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zalawadia et al. (2010)</td>
<td>37.3±0.5</td>
<td>35.5±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ilayperuma et al. (2010)</td>
<td>34.0±0.4</td>
<td>35.2±0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukoha et al. (2012)</td>
<td>27.4±0.7</td>
<td>27.4±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ma et al. (2012)</td>
<td>26.0±4.0</td>
<td>25.0±4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunday et al. (2013)</td>
<td>31.5±0.7</td>
<td>30.8±0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eboh et al. (2014)</td>
<td>32.1±2.6</td>
<td>31.1±2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seema and Mahajan (2014)</td>
<td>31.0±4.4</td>
<td>34.0±4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aksu et al. (2014)</td>
<td>31.8±4.5</td>
<td>31.4±4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present Study</td>
<td>32.0±4.5</td>
<td>32.7±4.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Comparison of the distances between pterion and some special landmarks in different populations. P: pterion, SFZ: sutura frontozygomaticus, AZ: arcus zygomaticus.
distance between the pterion and the sutura frontozygomaticus in Nigerian men as 31.9 ± 0.6 mm. Özç et al. (2004) measured mean distance between pterion and sutura frontozygomaticus in the study performed in Turkish men 33.0 ± 4.0 mm on the right and, 34.4 ± 3.9 mm on the left. Ari et al. (2009) measured the mean distance between the pterion and the processus mastoideus in the male samples of the Byzantine period by manual measurements 39.0 ± 4.0 mm on the right and, 39.0 ± 4.2 mm on the left. According to the results of our study, male pterion and sutura frontozygomaticus values are higher than the New Zealanders, lower than the Byzantine group, while they are compatible with the Kenyan and Nigerian populations.

Mwachaka et al. (2008) measured the mean distance between pterion and arcus zygomaticus in Kenyan men as 39.3 ± 3.3 mm. Ma et al. (2012) measured the mean distance between pterion and arcus zygomaticus in New Zealand men as 34 ± 4 mm. Sunday et al. (2013) measured the mean distance between pterion and arcus zygomaticus in Nigerian male as 39.7 ± 0.5 mm. Özç et al. (2004) measured mean distance between pterion and arcus zygomaticus in the study carried out in the samples of Turkish men, 40.5 ± 0.4 mm on the right and, 38.5 ± 0.3 mm on the left. Ari et al. (2009) measured the mean distance between pterion and arcus zygomaticus in male samples of the Byzantine period by manual measurements 37.0 ± 2.0 mm on the right and, 39.0 ± 4.6 mm on the left. According to the results of our study, pterion and arcus zygomaticus values in males, in comparison to different populations, are higher than New Zealanders, which is significantly lower than the values obtained in both of the studies in Turkey. Ari et al. (2009) measured the mean distance between the pterion and the processus mastoideus in the male samples of the Byzantine period by manual measurements 83.0 ± 3.4 mm on the right and, 85.0 ± 2.6 mm on the left. These two studies show comparability of data when compared.

Ari et al. (2009) determined the mean distance between pterion and asterion in male samples of Byzantine period by manual measurements 89.0 ± 4.0 mm on the right and, 93.0 ± 6.5 mm on the left. When the studies are compared, it is observed that while the right side shows comparability, the left side shows that our values are lower.

Ari et al. (2009) measured the distance between pterion and inion in male samples of Byzantine period 138.0 ± 5.0 mm on the right and, 137.0 ± 4.0 mm on the left. When studies compared results show comparability.

Mwachaka et al. (2008) measured the mean distance between pterion and sutura frontozygomaticus in Kenyan women as 29.7 ± 3.4 mm. Ma et al. (2012) measured the mean distance between pterion and arcus zygomaticus in New Zealand women as 25 ± 4 mm. Sunday et al. (2013) measured the mean distance between pterion and arcus zygomaticus in Nigerian women as 30.34 ± 0.8 mm. When the studies were compared, it was observed that our results were higher than the other three populations.

Mwachaka et al. (2008) measured the mean distance between pterion and arcus zygomaticus in Kenyan women as 37.4 ± 3.0 mm. Ma et al. (2012) measured the mean distance between pterion and arcus zygomaticus in New Zealand women as 34 ± 4 mm. Sunday et al. (2013) measured the mean distance between pterion and arcus zygomaticus in Nigerian women as 38.0 ± 0.7 mm. When we compare the results, it is observed that our results are higher than the New Zealand women.

In conclusion, identification and morphometrical measurements of pterion types are important studies frequently performed in various populations. It is clinically important to know the attachment types of the bones that shape the pterion to the main axis, and the distances of the pterion to various special landmarks. Different measurement results in different populations it may be the indicate that the geographic, genetic, evolutionary differences. We believe that these findings will be useful for clinicians, anthropologists and forensic specialized.
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